Proposed Mechanisms to Explain the Unusual Visual Disturbances Associated with Telithromycin
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Abstract

Background: Reports of macrolides causing “blurred vision” prompted investigation of the possible mechanisms of action. A first clue about blurred vision came from the relatively high prevalence of such symptoms reported by subjects exposed to telithromycin compared to structurally related macrolides (clarithromycin or azithromycin). As macrolides do not readily cross the blood brain barrier, blurred vision must arise from disruption of function in the peripheral nervous system. Since cholinergic neurotransmission plays a determinant role in peripheral nervous transmission we evaluated the possible interaction of macrolides with neuronal nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs) and compared the effects of telithromycin, clarithromycin, and azithromycin to CEM-101, a novel fluoroketolide.

Methods: Effects of macrolides at human neuronal nAChRs were assessed using electrophysiological recordings in recombinant xenoic oocytes. All experiments were carried out at human nAChRs expressed in X. laevis. A small piece of ovary was isolated for immediate preparation while the remaining part was placed at 4°C in a sterile Barth solution containing (in mM) NaCl 88, KCl 1, NaHCO3 2.4, HEPES 10, MgSO4.7H2O 0.82, Ca(NO3)2.4H2O 0.33, CaCl2.6H2O 0.91, pH 7.4, and supplemented with 20 μg/ml kanamycin, 100 unit/ml penicillin and 100 μg/ml streptomycin. All recordings were performed at 18°C and cells superfused with OR2 medium containing in mM NaCl 82.5, KCl 2.9, Hepes 9, CaCl2.4H2O 1.8, MgCl2.6H2O 1.0, pH 7.4, and 1 μM aminophylline was added to prevent possible activation of endogenous muscarinic receptors. Currents evoked by ACh or other agonists were recorded using an automated process equipped with standard two-electrode voltage-clamp configuration (TVEC). Unless indicated, cells were held at –70 mV.

Results: Exposure to low concentrations of telithromycin (2 μM) for 15 minutes caused pronounced inhibition at the α3(90 ± 10%) and α7 (85 ± 3%) receptor. Inhibition was significantly less with the other macrolides tested. Clarithromycin and azithromycin respectively inhibited the α3/4 receptor by 40 ± 7% and 56 ± 10%, and the α7 receptor by 49 ± 4% and 51 ± 16%. CEM-101 inhibited the α3/4 receptor by 61 ± 4% and, importantly, had no effect at the α7 receptor (6 ± 3%). As the α3 and α7 receptors are the major constituents of ganglionic transmission, inhibition of their activity will impair or even suppress neurotransmission in peripheral ganglia.

Conclusions: Dysfunction of the ciliary ganglion is expected to cause a loss of control of pupillary constriction and ciliary muscle contraction. Both effects thereby may combine to produce a reduction in the field of view and accommodation and cause a loss of focusing. This should result in profound vision disturbance and blurred vision for objects in the near and intermediate vision.

Introduction

Macrolides represent a large family of molecules displaying antibiotic activity that are used for the treatment of infections. While macrolides such as erythromycin or clarithromycin are considered rather safe molecules, some unexplainable side effects were observed with the development of macrolides, including aggravation of Myasthenia Gravis, blurred vision, and liver toxicity. Myasthenia Gravis is preponderantly caused by the impairment in the neurotransmission due to a dysfunction of the nicotinic acetylcholine receptors that act through the chemical synapse, as a powerful amplification of the signal coming from the motor neuron nerve ending. Aggravation of the Myasthenia Gravis symptoms therefore suggests a possible interaction of macrolides with neuronal nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. As these receptors form a wide family of ligand gated channels that are expressed both in the central and peripheral nervous system where they control multiple critical body functions it is important to determine if and how macrolides could potentially interfere with this class of receptors. The aim of this work was to examine, using an electrophysiological approach, the effects of macrolides on the main nicotinic acetylcholine receptor subunits.

Methods

All experiments were carried out at human nAChRs expressed in X. laevis oocytes using the method of cDNA expression. X. laevis oocytes were prepared and injected using standard procedures. Briefly, oocytes were harvested from X. laevis females that have been deeply anaesthetized and killed following the animal rights rule from the Geneva canton. A small piece of ovary was isolated for immediate preparation while the remaining part was placed at 4°C in a sterile Barth solution. All recordings were performed at 18°C and cells superfused with OR2 medium containing in mM NaCl 82.5, KCl 2.9, Hepes 9, CaCl2.4H2O 1.8, MgCl2.6H2O 1.0, pH 7.4, and 1 μM aminophylline was added to prevent possible activation of endogenous muscarinic receptors. Currents evoked by ACh or other agonists were recorded using an automated process equipped with standard two-electrode voltage-clamp configuration (TVEC). Unless indicated, cells were held at –70 mV. Data were captured and analyzed using a HiQScreen proprietary data acquisition and analysis software running under Matlab (Mathworks Inc.).

Results

Effects of macrolides were examined at four subtypes of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (NMUs, α3/4, α4/2 and α7). While neuromuscular junction (NMJ) receptors are exclusively expressed on the muscle receptor membrane, the α3/4 receptors are predominantly expressed in cholinergic ganglia. The α4/2 receptors represent the major high affinity nicotinic receptor in the brain (Figure 1). The homomeric α7 receptors are widely expressed in the central and peripheral nervous system and they have been shown to contribute to ganglionic neurotransmission in vivo. cDNAs encoding for the human genes of these different subtypes of receptors were injected into the nucleus of X. laevis oocytes and two days later properties of the receptors expressed at the cell membrane were investigated using two-electrode recordings. Exposure of cells expressing functional nicotinic receptors to macrolides evoked no current indicating that these compounds do not act as agonists. A reduction of the responses evoked by ACh was, however, observed upon addition to the solution of a low concentration of macrolides (2 μM). The inhibition was dose dependent as shown in Figure 2. The amplitude of inhibition depends on both the macrolide and receptor subtype that confirms the specificity of inhibition.

To best understand macrolide effects, the relative activities of four macrolides (Telithromycin, Azithromycin, Clarithromycin, and CEM-101) were tested at the four nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. Experiments were carried out using sustained exposures to macrolides (20 minutes) and recording the acetylcholine-evoked currents measured at periodic intervals. This protocol is thought to mimic the conditions experienced in vivo. Results were quantified by plotting the fraction of acetylcholine-evoked current measured at the end of the twenty minutes incubation versus the amplitude of current measured in control. Figure 3 summarizes these results for the four macrolides at the different nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. The lower amplitude of the bar corresponding to the strongest inhibition. These data revealed that exposure to 2 μM telithromycin caused more than 80% inhibition of the acetylcholine-evoked currents at the α3/4 and α7 nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (Table 1). As both receptor subtypes are mediating signal transmission in the peripheral cholinergic nervous system this indicates that exposure to telithromycin will impair ganglionic neurotransmission in these ganglia. The observation that exposure to telithromycin aggravates two nicotinic acetylcholine receptor mediated effects, Myasthenia Gravis and visual function conditions suggested that macrolides may interact with the nicotinic acetylcholine receptors.

Conclusions

The observation that exposure to telithromycin aggravates two nicotinic acetylcholine receptor mediated effects, Myasthenia Gravis and visual function conditions suggested that macrolides must interact with the nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. Functional experiments carried out at human receptors revealed that macrolides can inhibit in a dose and time dependent manner, these receptors function and that inhibition is receptor specific. The preferential inhibition observed with telithromycin at the ganglionic α3/4 and α7 receptor indicates that this molecule will impair ganglionic neurotransmission. Proper functioning of these receptors is determinant for the neurotransmission in the ciliary ganglia and a dysfunction of the ciliary ganglion can explain the blurred vision reported by patients taking telithromycin.
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